UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/716,265	11/17/2003	Thomas Pun	APLE.P0037	6487
62224 ADELI & TOL	7590 07/12/201 LEN, LLP		EXAMINER	
11940 San Vice	ente Blvd., Suite 100		WERNER, DAVID N	
LOS ANGELES, CA 90049			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/12/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Decree to Dule 242 Communication	10/716,265	PUN ET AL.
Response to Rule 312 Communication	Examiner	Art Unit
	David N. Werner	2621
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address –
 The amendment filed on <u>07 June 2010</u> under 37 CFR 1. a) ☐ entered. 	.312 has been considered, a	and has been:
b) entered as directed to matters of form not affecting	the scope of the invention.	
c) disapproved because the amendment was filed after the date the issue for and the required fee to withdraw the application	ee is paid must be accompai	
d) disapproved. See explanation below.		
e) 🛛 entered in part. See explanation below.		
Applicant has submitted three proposed Rule 312 Amend 2010. The first is an amendment or deletion of page 1: lir third is an amendment of page 5: line 23 – page 6: line 10	nes 4–8. The second is an a	
The first amendment is DENIED. Applicant indicates that specification, but does not present any text. It is unclear or if Applicant intened to add or change the references to error. Note that if Applicant's intent was to modify the list search by the examiner to determine if the allowed claims related applications and if the prosecution history of the rethe allowance of the claims in the present application to be	if Applicant intended to cand related applications and the of related applications, the a swould be subject to double elated applications contains	tel the "Related Applications" section, e omission of any such applications is in earmendment would require additional patenting rejections against the citations to prior art that would require
The second amendment is ENTERED. This amendment	only corrects a latent typogi	aphical error in the specification.
The third amendment is DENIED. Although the amendment received an incomplete replacement paragraph. It appears received on a fourth page of the communications filed 7 J Since it is unknown if Applicant intended to make addition paragraph is not acceptable for entry.	ars that the remainder of this lune 2010, but the Office ha	s amendment was intended to be s not received the complete document.
/Mehrdad Dastouri/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2621	/D. N. W./ Examiner, Art Uni	t 2621